

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,259	04/02/2004	Donald L. Gadberry	A-3099-AL	1789
21378 7591 04/18/2008 APPLIED MEDICAL RESOURCES CORPORATION 22872 Avenida Empresa			EXAMINER	
			EREZO, DARWIN P	
Rancho Santa Margarita, CA 92688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			0.1/1.0/0000	D + DEID

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/817,259 GADBERRY ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Darwin P. Erezo 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 14-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,259 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

## DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's amendment filed on 2/7/08 has been entered into the application.
Claims 1-12 are cancelled.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

 The information disclosure statement(s) (IDS) submitted on 2/7/08 has been received and made of record. Note the acknowledged form PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a guotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 5. Independent claim 14 recites the limitation of "the pad having a first portion extending from the rib along the second planar surface and a second portion extending from the outer surface of the housing adjacent to the rib to the first portion of the pad, the second portion of the pad having a width smaller than a width of the first portion of the pad". The written description does not provide support at all for the pad having a first portion and a second portion and the second portion having a width smaller than

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/817,259

Art Unit: 3773

the width of the first pad. If it is argued that the drawings provide support for the new limitation, it is noted that the written description does not state the drawings are drawn to scale. Moreover, the written description does not provide any criticality or support for the pad having multiple portions.

### Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 2/7/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. The applicant argued that the written specification provided support for limitations rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. It was argued that the specification provides support for the first and second jaws molded with a rib. More specifically, the ribs (76, 78, 81) are formed in proximity to the surface (83) of the rib. It was further argued that to form the pad (70), a special mold shutoff (90) is provided and placed against the surface (83) and at least partially seated on the upper surface (85) of the jaw (65). The mold shutoff (90) is specially configured so that it can also seat on the ribs (76, 78) with cutouts (92, 94) provided to accommodate the ribs (76, 78), respectively.
- 8. However, this does not specifically recite that the pad has a first portion extending from the rib along the second planar surface and a second portion extending from the outer surface of the housing adjacent to the rib to the first portion of the pad with the second portion of the pad having a width smaller than a width of the first portion of the pad. The applicant argued that the new matter limitation is inherent from the cited specification above and in page 5-6 of the Remarks. However, to establish inherency, the extrinsic evidence must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is necessarily

Application/Control Number: 10/817,259

Art Unit: 3773

present in the thing described in the reference, and that it would be so recognized by persons of ordinary skill. Inherency, however, may not be established by probabilities or possibilities. The mere fact that a certain thing may result from a given set of circumstances is not sufficient." In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743, 745, 49 USPQ2d 1949, 1950-51 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The recitation that the mold shut-off is configured so that it can seat on the ribs does not necessarily mean that the a pad is formed having a width smaller than the width of the first portion. The mold shut-off can seat at the very distal end of the rib so that no portion of the rib is formed with a pad.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571)272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/817,259 Page 5

Art Unit: 3773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773